Perceived Social Support among Psychiatric Outpatients: A Cross-Sectional Study in Indonesia

Sri Novitayani¹, Marthoenis Marthoenis¹, Martina Martina¹, Farah Dineva R¹, Rudi Alfiandi¹, Aiyub Aiyub¹

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: This study aimed to determine the social support among psychiatric outpatients.

METHODOLOGY: This cross-sectional study was conducted among 120 psychiatric outpatients who visited a psychiatric hospital in Banda Aceh, Indonesia. The inclusion criteria consist of a diagnosed schizophrenia by the psychiatrist, aged between 18 and 60 years old, scoring on the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) less than 41, good communication, and willingness to participate in this study. Data collection includes the socio-demographic information of the respondents, a Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale, and a Multidimensional Scale of Social Support (MSPSS). Frequency and percentage were used to report the demographic data and social support levels. The Stata 13 statistical software was used to analyze the data.

RESULTS: It was found that more than half of psychiatric outpatients have moderate social support (60%).

CONCLUSION: It means that they have sufficient social help from family, friends, and significant others. However, social support from friends should be improved, as it was found to be among the lowest supports the patients obtained.

KEYWORDS: Family Support, Significant others support, Friend support, Psychiatric Outpatient

INTRODUCTION

Psychiatric outpatients usually experience difficulty carrying out their activities, daily living, and treatment when returning home or society. The rejection from society often triggers them to relapse. The relapse rate among psychiatric patients is increasing worldwide¹. Before experiencing a relapse, early warning symptoms appear in the time range between one week to four weeks2. The prevalence of degeneration among psychiatric outpatients was 24.6%, and those living with family had a relapse 72% lower than those living alone³. Family can help them fulfil their daily activities, adhere to their medication, and participate in society activities. Therefore, they need family support since they are at home.

Besides family support, support from friends also has a vital role in helping psychiatric outpatients⁴; according to a study by Magura S 2012⁵, lower friends' support for psychiatric outpatients correlated with their lower medication adherence. Friends can motivate them to follow treatment based on instructions from psychiatrists, nurses. and psychologists. Psychiatric outpatients are willing to continue their medication as prescribed to maintain their psychosis symptoms so they can participate in

¹Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Universitas Sviah Kuala, Banda Aceh, Indonesia Correspondence: aiyub@usk.ac.id doi: 10.22442/jlumhs.2024.01136

some activities with their friends that make them comfortable and happy. Moreover, the frequency of friend interaction can predict clinical recovery during two years⁶. The more often patients interact with their friends, the better their mental health status.

Another support is from significant others. Significant other refers to individuals who have a close relationship, stay around, and spend time with the person, such as boyfriend or girlfriend, husband or wife. Significant others can significantly influence a person's life⁷. Support from significant others has an incredibly positive correlation to the quality of life of psychiatric outpatients⁸. Quality of life refers to individuals' perception of well-being related to health status. Psychiatric outpatients who have the highest Quality of Life showed the least symptomology. It means significant others' support influences psychiatric outpatient on continuing treatment to reduce severe symptoms so that it can increase the quality of life.

Support from family, friends, and significant others is part of social support. Social support is a mechanism to face life's stressors and promote health and wellbeing⁹. The patients often feel stressed because of problems in their lives, so social support is needed for psychiatric outpatients. Therefore, the current study aimed to identify perceived social support among psychiatric outpatients.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design

This cross-sectional study was conducted among 120

cc 🛈 😒 🗿 2024 © This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution – Non-Commercial 4.0 International BY NC SA License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution & reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is cited properly.

Novitayani et al.

patients who visited the outpatient clinic of Aceh Psychiatric Hospital. The inclusion criteria consist of a diagnosed schizophrenia by the psychiatrist, aged between 18 and 60 years old, scoring on the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) less than 41, good communication, and willingness to participate in this study. Furthermore, the respondents were excluded if they experienced severe symptoms of schizophrenia, had a diagnosis of more than one mental disease, and did not have a spouse, friend or family member. The data was collected by administering a questionnaire to eligible respondents at the polyclinic. The respondents filled out the questionnaire and returned it to the enumerators.

Instrument

Three instruments were used in this study, including the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS), the Demographic Data Questionnaire (DDQ), and the Multidimensional Scale of Social Support (MSPSS). The BPRS was a screening to assess psychiatric symptoms. The BPRS was developed by Overall and Gorham and had 18 items of psychiatric symptoms with a Likert scale from 1 (absent) to 7 (extremely severe)¹⁰. The DDQ was developed to measure demographic data of gender, age, education, marital status, occupation, length of illness, family member, and hospitalization. Furthermore, MSPSS assessed perceived support from significant others, family, and friends. MSPSS was developed by Zimet et al 11 and has 12 items with a 7 Likert scale from very strongly disagree to agree very strongly.

Data Analysis

Frequency and percentage were used to report the demographic data and social support levels. The Stata 13 statistical software was used to analyze the data.

Ethical Statement

The ethics committee of the Faculty of Nursing, Universitas Syiah Kuala, approved the study (reference number: 113005130623). The respondents signed the written informed consent and participated in the study voluntarily.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Respondent

The result showed that the majority of respondents were male (60%), aged in the adult category (56.6%), attended senior high school and university (61.7%), and unmarried (57.5%). Moreover, most had experienced schizophrenia during 1 - 15 years (65.8%) and were hospitalized from 1 to 5 times (51.7%). Furthermore, all respondents have family members, and more than half had 1 to 5 family members (69.2%). **Table I**

Perceived Social Support of Respondents

The result stated that most respondents had a moderate social support level (60%). According to sub -variables of social support, only family support had more respondents in the high category (52.5%).

Moreover, most respondents had moderate levels of significant other support (51.7%) and friend support (66.7%). **Table II**

Table I: Characteristic Respondents

Gender Female 48 40.00 Male 72 60.00 Age (Years) (23)	Characteristics	f	%	
Male 72 60.00 Age (Years) (23) 18-25 (Late Teenage) 6 5.00 26-35 (Early Adult) 34 28.33 36-45 (Late Adult) 34 28.33 36-45 (Late Adult) 34 28.33 36-45 (Late Adult) 34 28.33 36-70 (Late Elder) 12 10.00 Education 4 3.30 Elementary school 17 14.20 Junior high school 25 20.80 Senior high school 25 20.80 Senior high school 51 42.50 University 23 19.20 Marital Status Single 69 57.50 Married 40 33.30 Widow/widower 11 9.20 Occupation V Yes 60 50 No 60 50 So So 1 - 15 years 79 65.8 16 - 30 years 32 26.7 31 - 45 years 9 7.5 Family member 1 5 62 51.7	Gender			
18-25 (Late Teenage) 6 5.00 26-35 (Early Adult) 34 28.33 36-45 (Late Adult) 34 28.33 36-55 (Early Elder) 34 28.33 56-70 (Late Elder) 12 10.00 Education No formal education 4 3.30 Elementary school 17 14.20 Junior high school 25 20.80 Senior high school 51 42.50 University 23 19.20 Marital Status Single 69 57.50 Married 40 33.30 Widow/widower 11 9.20 Occupation Yes 60 50 No 60 50 No 60 50 No 60 50 Length of illness 1 9 1 - 15 years 79 65.8 16 - 30 years 32 26.7 31 - 45 years 9 7.5 Family member 1 1 5 1 - 5				
26-35 (Early Adult) 34 28.33 36-45 (Late Adult) 34 28.33 36-55 (Early Elder) 34 28.33 56-70 (Late Elder) 12 10.00 Education No formal education 4 3.30 Elementary school 17 14.20 Junior high school 25 20.80 Senior high school 51 42.50 University 23 19.20 Marital Status Single 69 57.50 Married 40 33.30 Widow/widower 11 9.20 Occupation Yes 60 50 No 60 50 No 60 50 No 60 50 Vers 64 50 No 60 50 No 60 50 No 60 50 Single 9 7.5 Family member 1 1 1 - 5 62 51.7 6 - 10	Age (Years) (23)			
No formal education 4 3.30 Elementary school 17 14.20 Junior high school 25 20.80 Senior high school 51 42.50 University 23 19.20 Marital Status Single 69 57.50 Married 40 33.30 Widow/widower 11 9.20 Occupation Yes 60 50 No 60 50 No 60 50 Length of illness 79 65.8 $16 - 30$ years 32 26.7 $31 - 45$ years 9 7.5 Family member 1 -5 $1 - 5$ members 83 69.2 $6 - 10$ members 37 30.8 Hospitalization 10 8.3 $1 - 5$ 62 51.7 $6 - 10$ 10 8.3 30 2 1.7 42 <td>26-35 (Early Adult) 36-45 (Late Adult) 46-55 (Early Elder)</td> <td>34 34 34</td> <td>28.33 28.33 28.33</td>	26-35 (Early Adult) 36-45 (Late Adult) 46-55 (Early Elder)	34 34 34	28.33 28.33 28.33	
Elementary school1714.20Junior high school2520.80Senior high school5142.50University2319.20Marital Status 33.30 Single6957.50Married4033.30Widow/widower119.20OccupationYes6050No6050Length of illness1 - 15 years7965.816 - 30 years3226.731 - 45 years97.5Family member1 - 5 members8369.26 - 10 members3730.8Hospitalization10.8Never4436.71 - 56251.76 - 10108.33021.74210.8	Education			
Single Married 69 40 57.50 33.30 Widow/widowerVidow/widower11 9.20 OccupationYes 60 60 50 No 60 50 Length of illness1 - 15 years 79 32 26.7 $31 - 45 years31 - 45 years97.5Family member1 - 5 members83371 - 5 members83371 - 5 members83371 - 5 members6251.76 - 10 members108.3151 - 56251.7108.3151 - 5621.710.83021.710.8$	Elementary school Junior high school Senior high school	17 25 51	14.20 20.80 42.50	
Married4033.30Widow/widower119.20OccupationYes6050No6050Length of illness 32 26.71 - 15 years3226.731 - 45 years97.5Family member1 - 5 members8369.26 - 10 members3730.8Never1 - 56251.76 - 10108.31510.83021.74210.8	Marital Status			
Yes 60 50 No 60 50 Length of illness 79 65.8 $1 - 15$ years 32 26.7 $31 - 45$ years 9 7.5 Family member 73 65.8 $1 - 5$ members 83 69.2 $6 - 10$ members 37 30.8 Hospitalization 10 8.3 $1 - 5$ 62 51.7 $6 - 10$ 10 8.3 15 1 0.8 30 2 1.7 42 1 0.8	Married	40	33.30	
No 60 50 Length of illness1 - 15 years 79 65.8 16 - 30 years 32 26.7 $31 - 45$ years 9 7.5 Family member1 - 5 members 83 69.2 6 - 10 members 37 30.8 HospitalizationNever 44 36.7 $1 - 5$ 62 51.7 $6 - 10$ 10 8.3 15 1 0.8 30 2 1.7 42 1 0.8	Occupation			
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 1 & -15 \ \text{years} & 79 & 65.8 \\ 16 & -30 \ \text{years} & 32 & 26.7 \\ 31 & -45 \ \text{years} & 9 & 7.5 \\ \hline \textbf{Family member} \\ \hline 1 & -5 \ \text{members} & 83 & 69.2 \\ 6 & -10 \ \text{members} & 37 & 30.8 \\ \hline \textbf{Hospitalization} \\ \hline \textbf{Never} & 44 & 36.7 \\ 1 & -5 & 62 & 51.7 \\ 6 & -10 & 10 & 8.3 \\ 15 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 30 & 2 & 1.7 \\ 42 & 1 & 0.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$				
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} 16 & - 30 \ \text{years} & 32 & 26.7 \\ \hline 31 & - 45 \ \text{years} & 9 & 7.5 \\ \hline \hline \textbf{Family member} & & & \\ \hline 1 & - 5 \ \text{members} & 83 & 69.2 \\ \hline 6 & - 10 \ \text{members} & 37 & 30.8 \\ \hline \textbf{Hospitalization} & & \\ \hline \textbf{Never} & 44 & 36.7 \\ \hline 1 & - 5 & 62 & 51.7 \\ \hline 6 & - 10 & 10 & 8.3 \\ \hline 15 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 30 & 2 & 1.7 \\ \hline 42 & 1 & 0.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Length of illness			
1 - 5 members 83 69.2 6 - 10 members 37 30.8 Hospitalization Never 44 36.7 1 - 5 62 51.7 6 - 10 10 8.3 15 1 0.8 30 2 1.7 42 1 0.8	16 - 30 years	32	26.7	
6 - 10 members 37 30.8 Hospitalization 37 30.8 Never 44 36.7 1 - 5 62 51.7 6 - 10 10 8.3 15 1 0.8 30 2 1.7 42 1 0.8	Family member			
Never 44 36.7 1 - 5 62 51.7 6 - 10 10 8.3 15 1 0.8 30 2 1.7 42 1 0.8	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hospitalization			
Table II: Social Support	1 - 5 6 - 10 15 30	62 10 1 2	51.7 8.3 0.8 1.7	
	Table II: Social Support			

Social Support	Low		Moderate		High	
Sub Variable	f	%	f	%	f	%
Significant Other	16	13.3	62	51.7	42	35.0
Family	4	3.3	53	44.2	63	52.5
Friend	23	19.2	80	66.7	17	14.2
Social Support	9	7.5	72	60.0	39	32.5

Novitayani et al.

DISCUSSION

The role of social support is significant for psychiatric outpatient to deal with their stressor in life. It can prevent the symptoms from worsening because of stress or other problems in life. In this current study, the perceived social support experienced by the psychiatric outpatients was moderate (60%). A previous study by Elmonem ASEA 2021¹² also showed that more than half of their respondents experienced moderate social support, while 28.5% had low social support. Individuals can receive social support, which makes them feel calm and loved, gain self-confidence and become competent¹³. The social necessity of the individual will be filled by social support, which makes patients gain moral support, connection, and self-esteem¹⁴.

Feeling satisfied with the social support among psychiatric outpatients might influence their rehospitalization. The previous hospitalization was significantly affected by the social support level¹⁵. Psychiatric outpatients who feel unsatisfied with the social support they received are 1.45 times more at risk of experiencing relapse compared to those who are satisfied with the social support they received¹⁶. Another study discovered that psychiatric outpatients who have high social support only need to be hospitalized in a shorter time¹⁷. Mekonnen M 2019¹⁸ found that psychiatric outpatients who were admitted to the hospitals more than three times experienced low social support. In this current study, most psychiatric outpatients had a rate of hospitalization with a range of 1 to 5 times (51%), followed by never hospitalization (36.7%), while they had a moderate level of social support.

Generally, psychiatric patients are admitted to psychiatric hospitals because they experience worse psychiatric symptoms. It can occur due to nonadherence with the medication. There was a significant correlation between social support and medication adherence¹⁹. Increasing social support will help patients reach financial stability, leading to medication adherence. Patients can buy their medication, follow the treatment, and get involved in the follow-up process, which reminds patients to take their medication²⁰. A study mentioned that social support would make patients feel accepted in the community and not different from those around them²¹. Receiving social support will lead to a better quality of life²².

Social support level also significantly affects occupational status among psychiatric outpatients¹⁵. Low social support escalates the risk of relapse due to delay, low motivation, less activity, and social withdrawal²³. Those conditions make it difficult for them to do their job. It supports the result in this current study, while the majority of psychiatric outpatients had social support at a moderate level, and half of them had occupations (50%).

Social support in this current study consists of support

from significant others, family, and friends. Support from substantial others among psychiatric outpatients was at a moderate level. This could be because most were single (57.5%), and only some were married (33.3%). Only psychiatric outpatients who were married have support from their spouse as significant others. Unmarried people reported to have lower social support than those who married and received marital support²⁴.

Besides that, gender might have contributed to the result that significant other support was moderate in this current study. The majority of psychiatric outpatients were dominated by males (60%). It means their wife will be a significant other who supports them. Previous studies described that females perceived higher social support than males^{25,26}. Females give more support to males as their spouses than males for females²⁷ because females provide more emotional support²⁸, empathy and expression²⁹ than males. Therefore, males receive more spousal support than females³⁰.

This study showed that family support was high (52.5%). Previous studies showed similar results where the psychiatric outpatients had high support from family (51.3%)¹⁵. A study by Jameel HT et al.¹⁷ stated that spending more than 35 hours a week with family will make patients rarely readmitted to the hospital. Patients depend emotionally and socially on their family members, especially parents, instead of relying on friends and significant others. The reason might be those friends and significant others think that interacting with schizophrenic patients is burdensome, and it makes them avoid the patients¹². The existence of support from family, such as involving patients in discussion and helping them to make decisions, makes patients feel more positive¹⁷. Social support from family influences patients in gaining autonomy, emotional, activity, and social skills³¹. Anggreny Y 2018³² conducted a study and found that family members accept a patient's condition, show empathy towards the patient's difficulty, and help the patient stick to medication. The most significant support from the family given to patients is instrumental support because the family provides not only medication costs but also the patient's living costs³³

Further, support from a friend who experienced psychiatric outpatients in this current study was at a moderate level. Friends can better affect conditions with positive and negative symptoms than those without friends³⁴. The support from friends that can be given to them includes emotional, informational, companionship, instrumental, and universal supports³⁵. Receiving various support from a friend will make them happy in living their daily lives. A previous study mentioned that closeness to friends was associated with higher happiness³⁶.

CONCLUSION

Social support is the leading role in psychiatric outpatients' lives. It can help them to fight the

Novitayani et al.

stressors of life. In this current study, psychiatric outpatients had moderate social support. This social support includes support from significant others, family and friends. However, only family support in the high category was experienced in the majority of psychiatric outpatients. It is recommended to increase social support by spending more time with patients and reducing stigma about psychiatric patients. For future studies, it is recommended that factors related to social support be found and interventions to increase social support should be conducted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We want to thank the nurses at Aceh Psychiatric Hospital who assisted us during the data collection.

Ethical permission: Universitas Syiah Kuala, Indonesia ERC letter No. 113005130623

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no competing interest in the research.

Financial Disclosure / **Grant Approval:** LPPM Universitas Syiah Kuala, Indonesia funded this study.

Data Sharing Statement: The corresponding author can provide the data proving the findings of this study on request. Privacy or ethical restrictions bound us from sharing the data publically.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Novitayani S: Contributed to writing the introduction, methodology, and results

Marthoenis M: Responsible for data analysis and Turnitin Martina M: Data collection

Dineva RF: In-charge of writing the discussion part Alfiandi R: Data collection

Aiyub A: Contributed to writing the abstract.

REFERENCES

- 1. Weret ZS, Mukhergjee R. Prevalence of relapse and associated factors in patients with Schizophrenia at Ammanuel Mental Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Institution based cross-sectional study. Int J Interdiscip Multidiscip Stud. 2014; 2(1): 184-192.
- 2. Orygen. Clinical practice in early psychosis: Preventing relapse in first episode psychosis. Oxygen. 2016; 1-9.
- 3. Fikreyesus M, Soboka M, Feyissa GT. Psychotic relapse and associated factors among patients attending health services in Southwest Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. BMC Psychiatry. 2016; 16: 354. doi:10.1186/s12888-016-1076-2.
- Jeyagurunathan A, Abdin E, Shafie S, Wang P, Chang S, Ong HL et al. Pathways to care among psychiatric outpatients in a tertiary mental health institution in Singapore. Int J Soc Psychiatry. 2018; 64(6): 554-562. doi:10.1177/002076401878 4632.
- 5. Magura S, Rosenblum A, Fong C. Factors associated with medication adherence among psychiatric outpatients at substance abuse risk.

Open Addict J. 2012; 4: 58-64. doi:10.2174/18749 41001104010058.

- Bjornestad J, Hegelstad WTV, Joa I, Davidson L, Larsen TK, Melle I et al. "With a little help from my friends" social predictors of clinical recovery in first -episode psychosis. Psychiatry Res. 2017; 255: 209-214.
- 7. Soimah S, Hartiani F. The relationship between perceived social support from family, friends, and significant others (nursing home staff) and life satisfaction among elderly nursing home residents. Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Intervention and Applied Psychology (ICIAP 2019) and the 4th Universitas Indonesia Psychology Symposium for Undergraduate Research (UIPSUR). Adv Soc Sci Educ Human Res. 2019; 494: 460-470.
- Munikanan T, Midin M, Daud TIM, Rahim RA, Bakar AKA, Jaafar NRN et al. Association of social support and quality of life among people with schizophrenia receiving community psychiatric service: A cross-sectional study. Compr Psychiatry. 2017; 75: 94-102. doi:10.1016/ j.comppsych.2017.02.009.
- Steese S, Dollette M, Phillips W, Hossfeld E, Matthews G, Taormina G. Understanding girls' circle as an intervention on perceived social support, body image, self-efficacy, locus of control, and self-esteem. Adolescence. 2006; 41 (161): 55-74.
- Leucht S, Kane JM, Kissling W, Hamann J, Etschel E, Engel R. Clinical implication of brief psychiatric rating scale score. Br J Psychiatry. 2005; 187: 366-371. doi:10.1192/bjp.187.4.366.
- 11. Zimet G, Dahlem NW, Zimet SG, Farley GK. The Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support. J Personal Assess. 1988; 52(1): 30-41.
- 12. Elmonem ASEA, El-Bilsha MA, Elhadidy ME. Social support among patients with schizophrenia. Mansoura Nurs J. 2021; 8(2): 13-25.
- Dewi MAK, Sukmayanti LMK. Social support and schizophrenia. Psikobuletin: Buletin Ilmiah Psikologi. 2020; 1(3): 179-186. doi:10.24014/pib. v%vi%i.9919.
- Vera-Garcia E, Mayoral-Cleries F, Vancampfort D, Stubbs B, Cuesta-Vargas AI. A systematic review of the benefits of physical therapy within a multidisciplinary care approach for people with schizophrenia: an update. Psychiatry Res. 2015; 229(3): 828-839. doi:10.1016/j. psychres.2015. 07.083.
- Mahmoud AS, Berma AE, Gabal SAAS. Relationship between social support and quality of life among psychiatric patients. J Psychiatry Psychiatric Disord. 2017; 1(2): 57-75.
- 16. Setiati E, DW S, Suryawati Ś. Social support and medication obedience with recurrence of schizophrenia patients in Purworejo [Dukungan sosial dan ketaatan pengobatan dengan

kekambuhan pasien skizofrenia di Purworejo]. BKM J Comm Med Public Health. 2017; 33(6): 305-310.

- 17. Jameel HT, Panatik SA, Nabeel T, Sarwar F, Yaseen M, Jokerst T et al. Observed social support and willingness for the treatment of patients with schizophrenia. Psychol Res Behav Manag. 2020; 13: 193-201. doi:10.2147/PRBM.S 243722.
- Mekonnen M, Boru B, Yohannis Z, Abebaw D, Birhanu A. Level of perceived social support and associated factors among people with schizophrenia attending outpatient department at Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 2017. J Psychiatry. 2019; 22(1): 1000461. doi:10.35248/2378-5756.19.22.461.
- 19. Rabinovitch M, Cassidy C, Schmitz N, Joober R, Malla A. The influence of perceived social support on medication adherence in first-episode psychosis. Canadian J Psychiatry. 2013; 58(1): 59 -65. doi:10.1177/070674371305800111.
- 20. Sariah AE, Outwater AH, Malima KI. Risk and protective factors for relapse among individuals with schizophrenia: A qualitative study in Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania. BMC Psychiatry. 2014; 14: 240. doi:10.1186/s12888-014-0240-9.
- 21. Unwala M. The effect of social support and gender on depression and quality of life in patients with schizophrenia. Indian J Mental Health. 2015; 2(3): 286-290.
- 22. Fiona K, Fajrianthi. The influence of social support on quality of life among schizophrenic patient [Pengaruh dukungan sosial terhadap kualitas hidup penderita skizofrenia]. Jurnal Psikologi Kepribadian dan Sosial. 2013; 02(03): 106-113.
- 23. Samuel T, Nigussie K, Mirkena Y, Azale T. Relationship between social support and schizophrenia relapse among patients with schizophrenia on follow-up at Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A case-control study. Front Psychiatry. 2022; 13: 980614. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2022.980614.
- 24. Vaingankar JA, Abdin É, Chong SA, Shafie S, Sambasivam R, Zhang YJ et al. The association of mental disorders with perceived social support, and the role of marital status: results from a national cross-sectional survey. Arch Public Health. 2020; 78: 108. doi:10.1186/s13690-020-00476-1.
- 25. Rani EK. Perceived social support and psychological well-being: Testing the Unique Association and Gender Differences among young working adults. Int J Indian Psychology. 2016; 3(2): 98-113. doi:10.25215/0302.065.
- 26. Ochoa S, Usall J, Cobo J, Labad X, Kulkarni J. Gender differences in schizophrenia and firstepisode psychosis: A comprehensive literature review schizophrenia research and treatment. Schizophr Res Treatment. 2012; 2012: 916198.

doi:10.1155/2012/916198.

- Schwarzer R, Luszczynska A, Knoll N, Schroder KEE, Gutierrez-Done B. Spousal support: Three studies on the supportive role of partners in times of stress. In: Buchwald P, editors. Stress and anxiety: Application to health, workplace, community, and education. 1st ed. Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Press; 2006
- Klauer T, Winkeler, M. Gender. Mental health status and social support during a stressful event. In: Weidner G, Kopp M, Kristenson M, editors. Heart disease: Environment, stress, and gender NATO Science Series, Series I: Life and Behavioural Sciences. 2002; 327: 223-236. Amsterdam: IOS Press.
- 29. Burleson BR. The experience and effects of emotional support: What the study of cultural and gender differences can tell us about close relationships, emotion, and interpersonal communication. Personal Relationships. 2003; 10 (1): 1-23.
- Schulz U, Schwarzer R. Long-term effects of spousal support on coping with cancer after surgery. J Soc Clin Psychology. 2004; 23(5): 716-732. doi:10.1521/jscp.23.5.716.50746.
- Eni KY, Herdiyanto YK. Family social support toward recovery among patients with schizophrenia in Bali [Dukungan sosial keluarga terhadap pemulihan orang dengan skizofrenia (ODS) di Bali]. Jurnal Psikologi Udayana. 2018; 5 (2): 268-281. doi: 10.24843/JPU.2018.v05.i02. p04.
- 32. Anggreny Y, Sodry, Saputra B. The relationship of communication and social support of families on the recurrence of patients with schizophrenia in polyclinic Mental Hospital Tampan Pekanbaru Province Riau [Hubungan komunikasi dan dukungan sosial keluarga terhadap kekambuhan pasien skizofrenia di poliklinik Rumah Sakit Jiwa Tampan Pekan Baru Provinsi Riau]. Jurnal Ners Indonesia. 2018; 8(2): 203-214.
- Kumalasari A, Wardana A, Martiana A. Social support for patients with mental disorders in Jogonalan Kidul, Tirtonirmolo, Kasihan, Bantul. Dimensia: Jurnal Kajian Sosiologi. 2019; 8(1): 24-36. doi:10.21831/dimensia.v8i1.35566.
- Harley EW, Boardman J, Craig T. Friendship in people with schizophrenia: A survey. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatric Epidemiol. 2012; 47: 1291-9. doi:10.1007/s00127-011-0437-x.
- 35. Griffiths KM, Crisp DA, Barney L, Reid R. Seeking help for depression from family and friends: A qualitative analysis of perceived advantages and disadvantages. BMC Psychiatry. 2011; 11: 196.
- Nguyen AW, Chatters LM, Taylor RJ, Mouzon DM. Social support from family and friends and subjective well-being of older African Americans. J Happiness Study. 2016; 17(3): 959-979. doi:10.1007/s10902-015-9626-8.